Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,546	KRYMSKI, ALEXANDER I.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED</u>			
(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.	(3)		
(2) David Beck, Applicant's Representative.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 2 October 2006	Time: <u>approx. 1:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  NONE			
Claims discussed: NONE			
Prior art documents discussed:  NONE			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
			•
·			
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative returned Examiner's call. The examiner wanted to confirm the status of the present application. Applicant's Representative stated that correspondence/communication had not been filed since 04/11/2006. Examiner stated that she would be sending a Notice Of Abandonment.